

Criteria	Feedback
<p><b>Content and Substance</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Post is at least 750 words.</li> <li>• Post’s title is clear and attention-grabbing.</li> <li>• Post clearly integrates theme into the chronological era under discussion.</li> <li>• Post goes beyond merely summarizing to offer analysis and interpretation.</li> <li>• Post demonstrates engagement with, and command of, the module’s material.</li> <li>• Post incorporates material from texts and other appropriate scholarly sources.</li> </ul>	
<p><b>Design &amp; Format</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Post’s layout and content are clear and accessible.</li> <li>• Post uses appropriate formatting tools (e.g., “Read More” separator, block quotes, captions).</li> <li>• Posts use categories and/or tags to help reader navigate site.</li> <li>• Site layout is well-organized; site navigation is clear and accessible.</li> <li>• Overall appearance of site is attractive and interesting.</li> </ul>	
<p><b>Media &amp; Non-Textual Content</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Post incorporates at least <i>three</i> appropriate and relevant media items (images, maps, audio, video, etc.).</li> <li>• Usage of media adheres to fair use and copyright standards.</li> <li>• Media items are well-integrated into post content, and have captions or other apparatus to guide reader.</li> </ul>	
<p><b>Attributions, Mechanics, &amp; Style</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• All prose—text, captions, etc.—is free from grammatical and spelling errors; website looks professional and polished.</li> <li>• All quotations have an accompanying citation (end-of-post cites are acceptable).</li> <li>• Each media item has an attribution (either in its caption or at the end of the post).</li> <li>• The website contains a bibliography page, which correctly uses Chicago-style formatting.</li> </ul>	